- (a) (i) Materials: Each job may consume different materials but in processing costing the same materials are used for all units produced.
 - (ii) Cost: Cost is calculated for each job separately but under process the cost per unit is the average cost.
 - (iii) Finished Goods: Stock of finished goods for job costing is made up of unlike and unique items while those of process costing is made up of like items.
 - (iv) Steps in Production: A job is started and completed so there is one work-in-proceess account that accumulates the cost. Under process the output of one process becomes the input for the next process.

(b) Total production

Units to be accounted for:	
Work in process, beginning	100
Started into production	<u>10,000</u>
Total unites accounted for	10,100

(i) Equivalent Unites

	Equivalent Units		
		Materials	Conversion
Units accounted for as follows:			
Transferred to Department 2	9,700	9,700	9,700
Work in process, ending	400	360	280
Total units	10,.100	10,060	<u>9,980</u>
Costs per equivalent unit			
	Total Cost GHS	Materials GHS	Conversion GHS
	Units accounted for as follows: Transferred to Department 2 Work in process, ending Total units Costs per equivalent unit	Units accounted for as follows: Transferred to Department 29,700Work in process, ending Total units400 10,100Costs per equivalent unit10,100Costs per equivalent unitTotal Cost GHS	Equiva MaterialsUnits accounted for as follows: Transferred to Department 29,7009,700Work in process, ending Total units400 10,100360 10,060Costs per equivalent unit10,10010,060Costs per equivalent unitTotal Cost GHSMaterials GHS

Cost to be accounted for:			
Work in process, beginning	3,611	182	3,429
Cost added during the month	<u>401,801</u>	<u>27,986</u>	<u>373,815</u>
Total cost (x)	405,412	28,168	<u>377,244</u>
Equivalent units (y)		10,060	9,980
Cost per EU,(x) \div (y)		2.800	37.800
Cost per whole unit	40.600		

	Cost reconciliation			Fauivalent	Units		
		GHS	Total Cost GHS	Materials	Conversion		
(iii)	Transferred to Department 2		393,820	9,700	9,700		
(iv)	Work in process, ending: Materials (360 x GHS2.80) Conversion (280 x GHS37.80) Total Work in process, ending Total cost	1,008 <u>10,584</u>	<u>11,592</u> 405,412		280		
i.	Materials price variance = $(AQ \times AP) - (AQ \times SP)$ = GHS100,725 - (5,100 x GHS19.00) = GHS3,825 U						
ii.	Materials quantity variance = SP)AQ – SQ*) = GHS19.00 (4,700 – 4,692) = GHS152 U * SQ = Standard quantity per unit x Actual output = 2.3 x 2.040 = 4,692 litres						
iii.	Variable overhead spending variance = (AH x AR) – (AH x SR) = GHS44,980 – 5,200 x GHS7.70) = GHS4.940 U						
iv.	Variable overhead efficiency w * SH = Standard hours per us	variance = S (5 nit x Actual	$R (AH - SH^*) = 5,200 - 5,100) = 0$ output = 2.5 x 2	= GHS7.70 GHS770 A 2,040 = 5,100			

(c)

(a) <u>IMPORTANCE OF BUDGETING</u>

- Planning of annual operations
- Coordination of activities
- Communication
- Control activities
- Evaluate performance
- Motivation

CONDITIONS FOR EFFECTIVE BUDGETING

- Top management support
- Training
- Sound organisational structure
- Proper standard costing system

- _
- Active participation of line managers Investigation and reporting of all budget variances. _

(b) Budgeted Profit & Loss Accounts

	October GHS		November GHS		December GHS
Sales (20 x 10,000)	200,000	(20 x 12,000)	240,000	(20 x 15,000)	
Open stock (given	18,000	(given)	20,000	(given)	
Add purchases (derived)	122,000	(1 : 1)	<u>139,000</u>		
Cost of good available (derived)	140,000	(derived)	159,000	(1.45 + 25)	
Closing stock (given)	20,000	(given)	15,000	(145 + 25)	
Cost of sales (derived)	120,000	(240.000 - 9.600)	144,000	(300 – 155)	
Gross profit (40/100 x 200,000)	80,000	(40/100 x 240,000)	96,000	(<u>51.667x</u> 300,000)	
				100	
Add other income	25,000		<u>25,000</u>		
Rent income	105,000		121,000		
Less:					
Admin expenses	20,000	(240/200 x 20,000)	24,000	(300/400 x 24000)	
Selling & Dist. (25/100 x 200,000)	50,000	(25/100 x 240,000)	60,000	(25/100 x 300000)	
D3epreciation (2/100 x 500,00)	10,000	(2/100 x 600 000)	12,000	(2/100 x 600.000))	
Total expenses	80,000	(2/100 × 000,000)	96,000		
Net profit before tax	25,000		25,000		
Taxation @ 10% of NPBT	2,500		2,500		
Net profit after tax	22,500		22,500		

Workings

CREDITORS SCHEDU	LE/CASH PAYMEN	Γ COMPUTATION	
	October	November	December
	GHS	GHS	GHS
Desired balance	30,000	25,000	20,000
Add purchases	122,000	<u>139,000</u>	155,000
	152,000	164,000	175,000
Desired ending balance	25,000	<u>20,000</u>	<u>28,000</u>
Cash payment	127,000	144,000	147,000
DEBTORS SCHEDULE	/CASH (RECEIVED) COMPUTATION	
	October	November	December
	GHS	GHS	GHS
Desired debtors balance	25,000	15,000	30,000
Add sales	200,000	<u>240,000</u>	<u>300,000</u>
	225,000	255,000	330,000

Desired closing debtors	15,000	30,000
	<u>210,000</u>	225,000

15,000 315,000

October	November	December
GHS	GHS	GHS
210,000	225,000	315,000
-	80,000	-
25,000	25,000	25,000
235,000	330,000	340,000
-	100,000	-
20,000	24,000	30,000
50,000	60,000	75,000
127,000	144,000	147,000
3,000	2,500	2,500
200,000	330,500	254,500
35,000	(500)	85,500
80,000	115,000	114,500
115,000	114,500	200,000
	GHS 210,000 <u>25,000</u> 235,000 <u>200,000</u> 127,000 <u>3,000</u> 200,000 35,000 80,000 115,000	OctoberNovemberGHSGHS $210,000$ $225,000$ - $80,000$ $25,000$ $25,000$ $235,000$ $330,000$ - $100,000$ $20,000$ $24,000$ $50,000$ $60,000$ $127,000$ $144,000$ $3,000$ $2,500$ $200,000$ $330,500$ $35,000$ (500) $80,000$ $115,000$ $114,500$

Cash budgeted

(c) Budgeted Balance Sheet Statement of Financial Position

	October GHS	November GHS	December GHS
Fixed Assets	370.000	458.000	446.000
Current Assets	,		
Stock	20,000	15,000	25,000
Debtors	15,000	30,000	15,000
Expected cash balance	115,000	114,500	200,000
Total current assets	150,000	159,500	240,000
Current Liabilities:			
Creditors	25,000	20,000	28,000
Taxation	2,500	2,500	6,300
Total current liabilities	27,500	22,500	34,300
Net current assets	122,500	137,000	205,700
Net assets	492,500	595,000	651,700
Financed by:			
Capital (derived)	470,000	492,500	515,000
Add profit	22.500	22.500	56,700
r	492,500	515.000	571.700
Loan	-	80.000	80,000
	492,500	595,000	<u>651,700</u>

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	(a)					
(i) A - 3460 kg @ GHS1.84 per kg 6,366.40 B - 5860 kg @ GHS1.20 per kg 7,032.00 C - 3270 kg @ GHS1.00 per kg 3,270.00 D - 1900 kg @ GHS1.65 per kg $3,132.00$ 19,803.40 5% increase <u>990.17</u> Direct labour cost at full capacity 20,793.57 (ii) Direct labour to be released if B is outsourced (2000 kg @ GHS1.20 per kg) 2,400.00 (iii) Capacity to be available (GHS2,400 + GHS990.17) 3,390.17 (iv) The output of product A can be increased by: (GHS3,390.17 ÷ GHS1.84) 1,842.48 kg <u>MPACT ON PROFIT</u> Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) *(e) Additional contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) <u>7,236.00</u>					GHS	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	(i)	А	-	3460 kg @ GHS1.84 per kg	6,366.40	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		В	-	5860 kg @ GHS1.20 per kg	7,032.00	
D - 1900 kg @ GHS1.65 per kg $3,132.00$ 19,803.40 5% increase $990.17Direct labour cost at full capacity 20,793.57(ii) Direct labour to be released if B is outsourced(2000 kg @ GHS1.20 per kg)$ GHS (2000 kg @ GHS1.20 per kg) $3,390.17(iii) Capacity to be available (GHS2,400 + GHS990.17) 3,390.17(iv) The output of product A can be increased by:(GHS3,390.17 \div GHS1.84) 1,842.48 \text{ kg}IMPACT ON PROFITAdditional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07)*(e)Additional contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618)7,236.00$		С	-	3270 kg @ GHS1.00 per kg	3,270.00	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		D	-	1900 kg @ GHS1.65 per kg	3,132.00	
5% increase990.17Direct labour cost at full capacity $20,793.57$ (ii)Direct labour to be released if B is outsourced (2000 kg @ GHS1.20 per kg)GHS 2,400.00(iii)Capacity to be available (GHS2,400 + GHS990.17)3,390.17(iv)The output of product A can be increased by: (GHS3,390.17 ÷ GHS1.84)1,842.48 kgIMPACT ON PROFIT *(e)*(e) Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) *(f)13,026.33 *(f)Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618)7,236.00					19,803.40	
Direct labour cost at full capacity $20,793.57$ (ii)Direct labour to be released if B is outsourced (2000 kg @ GHS1.20 per kg)GHS 2,400.00(iii)Capacity to be available (GHS2,400 + GHS990.17)3,390.17(iv)The output of product A can be increased by: (GHS3,390.17 ÷ GHS1.84)1,842.48 kgIMPACT ON PROFIT Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) *(f)13,026.33 *(f)Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618)7,236.00				5% increase	990.17	
(ii)Direct labour to be released if B is outsourced (2000 kg @ GHS1.20 per kg)GHS 2,400.00(iii)Capacity to be available (GHS2,400 + GHS990.17)3,390.17(iv)The output of product A can be increased by: (GHS3,390.17 ÷ GHS1.84)1,842.48 kgIMPACT ON PROFIT*(e)Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) *(f)13,026.33 *(f)Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618)7,236.00		Dire	ct labou	ur cost at full capacity	<u>20,793.57</u>	
(ii) Direct labour to be released if B is outsourced $(2000 \text{ kg } @ \text{ GHS}1.20 \text{ per kg})$ 2,400.00 (iii) Capacity to be available (GHS2,400 + GHS990.17) 3,390.17 (iv) The output of product A can be increased by: (GHS3,390.17 ÷ GHS1.84) 1,842.48 kg <u>IMPACT ON PROFIT</u> *(e) Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) 13,026.33 *(f) Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) <u>7,236.00</u>		D'	1. 1			CHE
(2000 kg @ GHS1.20 per kg)2,400.00(iii) Capacity to be available (GHS2,400 + GHS990.17)3,390.17(iv) The output of product A can be increased by: (GHS3,390.17 ÷ GHS1.84)1,842.48 kgIMPACT ON PROFIT Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) *(f)13,026.33 *(f)Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618)7,236.00	(11)	Dire		ur to be released if B is outsourced		GHS
(iii) Capacity to be available (GHS2,400 + GHS990.17) 3,390.17 (iv) The output of product A can be increased by: (GHS3,390.17 \div GHS1.84) 1,842.48 kg <u>IMPACT ON PROFIT</u> Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) *(e) Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) *(f) Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) <u>7,236.00</u>			(200	00 kg @ GHS1.20 per kg)		2,400.00
(iv) The output of product A can be increased by: (GHS3,390.17 \div GHS1.84) 1,842.48 kg <u>IMPACT ON PROFIT</u> Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) *(f) Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) <u>7,236.00</u>	(iii)	Cap	3,390.17			
$(HV) = He output of product R can be increased by:$ $(GHS3,390.17 \div GHS1.84) = 1,842.48 \text{ kg}$ $\underline{IMPACT \text{ ON PROFIT}} = (e)$ Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) = 13,026.33 *(f) Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) = 7,236.00	(iv)	The	output	of product A can be increased by:		
IMPACT ON PROFIT *(e) Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) 13,026.33 *(f) 12,236.00 Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) 7,236.00	(GHS3 390 17 \pm GHS1 84)					1 842 48 kg
IMPACT ON PROFIT *(e) Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) 13,026.33 *(f) 13,026.33 Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) 7,236.00			1,042.40 Kg			
*(e) Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) *(f) Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) <u>7,236.00</u>	IMPA	ACT O	N PRO	FIT		
Additional contribution for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07) 13,026.33 *(f) Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) <u>7,236.00</u>				*(e)		
*(f) Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) 	Addit	tional	contribu	ation for A (1842.48 kg @ GHS7.07)	13,026.33
Less: Loss of contribution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618) 				*(f)		
7,236.00	Less:	Loss of	of contr	ibution for B (2000 kg @ GHS3.618	8)	
				-		7,236.00
<u>5,790.33</u>						5,790.33

Koboko Ltd should outsource 2000 kg of B and produce an extra 1842.48 kg of A.

* Workings

(e)	GHS7.07	<u>SP</u> =	<u>UC</u> 17.30 - 10.23
(f)	GHS3.618	=	85% z 12.48 – 6.99

(b) Determination if limiting factor Labour hours: Required

A E C	A 3 2	10,000 x 5 6,000 x 4 12,000 x 4	= = =	50,000 24,000 48,000
Ľ)	8,000 x 6	=	48,000
				170,000
Т	Fotal a	vailable	=	175,000 more than enugh.
Direct materials				

А	10,000 x 3	=	30,000
В	6,000 x 2	=	12,000
С	12,000 x 2	=	24,000
D	8,000 x 3	=	<u>24,000</u>
			90,000 units only 60,000 available.

<u>]</u>	Determination of Contribution per Limiting Factor				
	А	В	С	D	
SP	60	45	50	65	
VC	<u>47</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>50</u>	
СМ	13	11	14	15	
	3	2	2	3	
CM/LF	4.33	.5	7	5	
Ranking	4^{th}	2^{nd}	1^{st}	3^{rd}	

Allocation of Direct materials for Production

А	3,000 x 3	=	9,000
С	12,000 x 2	=	24,000
В	6,000 x 2	=	12,000
D	5,000 x 3	=	15,000
			60,000

Total Contribution:

	А	В	С	D	Total
Units	3,000	6,000	12,000	5,000	
CM	13	11	14	15	
	39,000	66,000	168,000	75,000	348,000
Fixed cost					240,000
Profit					<u>108,000</u>

SOLUTION 4

 (a) (i) <u>Return on Capital Employed: (ROCE)</u> This expresses divisional profit as a percentage of the assets employed in the division. ROCE considers whether divisions are returning a sufficiently high return on the capital invested in the division.

Adu (1) ROCE provides a useful overall approximation in the success of a firm's past

investment policy.

(2) It also focuses manager's attention on the impact of levels of working capital (particularly stocks and) on the ROCE.

Problems

- (1) divisional managers on the basis of ROCE may not encourage goal congruence.
- (2) Managers can be motivated to make incorrect asset disposal decisions.
- (3) Managers can increase their ROCE by making decisions that are not in the best interest of the company.
- (ii) <u>Residual Income (RI)</u>

RI is defined as controllable contribution less a cost of capital charge on the invesmnet controllable by the divisional manager.

<u>Advantages</u>

- (1) It encourages managers to make the correct asset disposal decisions.
- (2) It is more flexible, because different cost of capital percentages rates can be applied to investments that have different levels of risk.
- (3) It makes decisions in the best interest of the company as a whole.
- (4) RI measure enables different risk-adjusted capital costs to be incorporated in the calculation.
- (iii) <u>Problems of RI</u>

It is difficult to compare the performance, of a division with that of others or companies of a different size.

(b) (i) $ROCE = \underline{Div Sales - Div Expenses} \times 100$ Capital Employed

Naa
 Hewo

 ROCE

$$56,000 - 44,070$$
 x 100
 $35,000 \times 25,690$
 x 100

 17,600
 10,700
 10,700

 =
 67.8%
 =
 87%

(ii) RI = Div Profit – (Cost of Capital x Capital Employed)

Naa

Hewo

	RI: 11,93	30 - (20% x 17,600) <u>GHS8,410</u>	9,310 – (20% x 10,700) <u>GHS7,170</u>
(iii)	Controllable Profit	= Div Profit + depreciat	tion
	C.P: 1	<u>Naa</u> 1,930 + 720	<u>Hewo</u> 9,310 + 990
		<u>GHS12,650</u>	<u>GHS10,300</u>

(a)	i.	Computation of cost driver rates						
		Labour Related	=	GHS40,000/8,000 DLHs	=	GHS5/DLH		
		Machine Related	=	GHS50,000/12,500 MHs	=	GHS4/MH		
		Quality Control	=	GHS12,000/800 inspections	=	GHS15/inpection		
	ii.	Job 400 FL cost Sheet						
		Direct materials Direct labour (GHS ²	40 x 30)			GHS 900 1,200		
		Labour related (GHS Machine related (GHS Quality control+ (GHS Production cost Mark-up (1/5x GHS Selling price	85 x 30) 484 x 80 HS15 x 2,645)	$ \begin{array}{c} 150 \\ 320 \\ 5) \\ \underline{75} \end{array} $		<u>545</u> 2,645 <u>529</u> <u>3,174</u>		
	iii.	Undercosted by GHS	162.50					
		Total Overheads = GHS40,000 + GHS50,000 + GHS12,000 = GHS102,000 Traditional overhead absorption rate = GHS102,000,8,000 DLHs = GHS12.75.DLH						
	Overho Overho Under	eads absorbed under A eads absorbed under tr -absorbed	ctivity-l aditiona	based = GHS150 + GHS320 - l systems = GHS12.75 x 30	+ GHS7	GHS 5 = 545 = 382.50 162.50		

- (b) Some of the main assumptions underlying CVP analysis in short term decisions making include the following:
 - i. All costs can be segregated into fixed and variable elements;
 - ii. Fixed costs will remain constant while variable costs vary proportionately with the level of activity;
 - iii. The only factor affecting costs and revenues is volume of activity;
 - iv. Technology, production methods and efficiency remain unchanged;
 - v. There are no inventory level changes, or that inventories are valued at marginal cost; and
 - vi. There is no uncertainty.

Some of the typical limitations of CVP analysis include the following:

- i. The concept can apply to a single product or a single mix of a group of products.
- ii. It assumes that fixed costs are constant at all levels of output
- iii. It assumes that variable costs are the same per unit at all levels of output
- iv. The assumption that selling prices are constant at all levels of output may not hold.
- v. The assumption that production equal sales may not hold as there may exist inventories.
- vi. The concept ignores uncertainties, especially in the estimates of fixed costs and per unit variable cost.